FITZPATRICK, CELLA, HARPER & SCINTO

650 Town Center Drive Suite 1600 Costa Mesa, California 92626-7130 (714)540-8700

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

FEB 2 5 2005

Facsimile:(714)540-9823

- July
ma - ation
,

Certificate of Transmission

I hereby ecrify that this correspondence is being forcimile transmitted to the Patent and Trademark Office:

February 25

Y A

Date

Signature

Matthew J. Evans (Reg. No. 56,530)

Name of person signing certificate

IF YOU DO NOT RECEIVE ALL THE PAGES PLEASE CALL, 714-540-8700 AS SOON AS POSSIBLE.

Note: We are transmitting from a Canon Model FAX-L770 (compatible with any Group I, Group II or Group III machine).

This facsimile message and accompanying documents are intended only for the use of the addresser indicated above. Information that is privileged or otherwise compidential, may discontinued therein. If you are not tild intended recipient, you are fereby not due that any dissemination, review or use of the message, documents or information contained therein is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in proof, please not by us immediately by telephone or pacsimile and mall the original. To us at the above address. Thank you.

CA_MAIN 92606v1

FEB 2 5 2005

00169.002039

PATENT APPLICATION

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of: TIMOTHY MERRICK LONG)) : Examiner: Donald Champagne) : Group Art Unit: 3622
		;	
Application No.: 09/845,267		; ;	Gloup Art Ollit. 3022
Filed:	May 1, 2001	;	
For:	INFORMATION APPLIANCE COST SUBSIDY	; ;	February 25, 2005
	Stop Amendment		

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION/ELECTION REQUIREMENT

Sir:

In response to the Restriction Requirement dated January 27, 2005,

Applicant hereby provisionally elects to prosecute the Group I claims, namely Claims 1 to
6 and 25 to 31. The Restriction Requirement is, however, traversed.

Certificate of Transmission

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile
transmitted to the Patent and Transpiark Office:
February 25, 2005 Date Signature
Matthew J. Evans (Reg/No. 56,530)

examining two groups of claims in a single application. In particular, MPEP §808 makes clear that in order to require restriction between independent or distinct inventions, reasons for insisting upon a restriction requirement, such as undue burden, must also be shown. In the present instance, it is not believed that there would be an undue burden in examining the claims of Groups I and II (i.e., Claims 1 to 31) in a single application, since the two groups of claims are not so different as would require a burden on the Examiner that is significantly beyond that of the normal burdens of examination.

Accordingly, reconsideration and withdrawal of the Restriction Requirement are respectfully requested.

Applicant's undersigned attorney may be reached in our Costa Mesa,

California office at (714) 540-8700. All correspondence should continue to be directed to
our below-listed address.

Respectfully submitted,

Attorney for Applicant Matthew J. Evans

Registration No. 56,530

FITZPATRICK, CELLA, HARPER & SCINTO 30 Rockefeller Plaza
New York, New York 10112-2200
Facsimile: (212) 218-2200

CA MAIN 92544v1